### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

10/576249

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 10002481WO01	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/JP2005/012266	International filing date (day/month/year) 27 June 2005 (27.06.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28 June 2004 (28.06.2004)				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA						

		-					
1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Box No. I Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Box No. II Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application  Certain observations on the international application					
	Box No. VIII						
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).							
			Date of issuance of this report 28 December 2006 (28.12.2006)				
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes		lombettes	Authorized officer  Masashi Honda				
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70		W LESS IGHG	e-mail: pt08@wipo.int				

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

RIF

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

om the TERNATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHO	DRITY		REC'D OF DEC 2005		
Го:		6	÷	РСТ		
see form P	CT/ISA/220	\$ 10,10p	INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF THE IAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	o form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file resee form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below			
nternational application N PCT/JP2005/012266	0.	International filing date (c 27.06.2005	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.06.2004		
International Patent Classi B41J2/16, G03F7/00	fication (IPC) or t	both national classification	and IPC			
Applicant CANON KABUSHIKI	KAISHA					
This opinion cor	ntains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:			
_	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
	☐ Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
	☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity or	-	ard to noveity, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. V	Reasoned stat		:.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement		
☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited		•		
☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international app	lication			
☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application			
2. FURTHER ACTIO	ON	•				
written opinion of the applicant cho	the Internation oses an Author eau under Rule	al Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to	g Authority ("IPEA"). H be the IPEA and the	usually be considered to be a lowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority		
submit to the IPE	A a written repl date of mailing	y together, where appro	priate, with amendme	PEA, the applicant is invited to nts, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,		
For further option	s, see Form PC	CT/ISA/220.		•		
3. For further details	s, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing addres	s of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	.nat Pringer.		



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Patosuo, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8099



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/012266

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ecessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type of material:			
	□ a sequence listing			
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:			
	☐ in written format			
	in computer readable form			
	c. time of filling/furnishing:			
	□ contained in the international application as filed.			
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
4	Additional comments:			

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/012266

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

165. Claims

No: Claims 1-9

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-9

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: EP-A-1 380 425 (CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA) 14 January 2004
- D1: EP-A-1 380 422 (CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA) 14 January 2004
- D2: JP 2001 179990 A (CANON INC), 3 July 2001 (English computer translation)
- D3: GB-A-2 278 845 ( AJINOMOTO CO INC; AJINOMOTO CO., INC) 14 December 1994
- D4: US 2002/019500 A1 (ROTH MARTIN ET AL) 14 February 2002
- D5: EP-A-0 411 839 (CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA) 6 February 1991

#### 1. Lack of Inventive Step

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 to 9 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

1.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses a method for manufacturing a liquid discharge head comprising the following steps:

forming a solid layer for forming a flow path on a substrate;

forming a coating layer on the solid layer

forming a discharge port in the coating layer;

removing the solid layer to form a flow path (D1: par. [0078]-[0094]).

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known method in that the material used for the coating layer contains an inhibitor of cationic photopolymerization.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as to provide a method for manufacturing a liquid discharge head where scum does not occur at the interface between the solid layer and the coating layer when the discharge ports are created.

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/012266

A photopolymerization inhibitor has already been employed for the same purpose in a similar method, see document D2, par. [0076] and [0077]. Furthermore, it is generally known in the photolithographic art to add polymerization inhibitors to a photosensitive compositions to avoid unwanted polymerization (see for example documents D3 to D5). Therefore it would be obvious to the person skilled in the art, namely when the same result is to be achieved, to apply the photopolymerization inhibitor with corresponding effect to a method according to document D1, thereby arriving at a method according to claim 1.

- 1.2 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 9, which therefore is also considered not inventive.
- 1.3 Dependent claims 2 to 8 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see document D1 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

### **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLÁNTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER.

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.